The Historical Complacency of Styxhexenhammer

tonyodarg.wordpress.com/2020/12/19/historical-complacency-styx

Tony Odarg December 19, 2020

Because both the left is uninterested and the mainstream in general is too chicken to debate White identitarians, we are stuck having to debate people whom we really shouldn't. The example of Tarl Warwick, aka Styx, is just an example. He has many times debated the alt right, people such as Richard Spencer and Greg Johnson. A common theme resounds throughout his argumentation against a White ethnostate.

> You don't need ethno-nationalism since the civic nationalist model, once removed of Leftist influences, will be just as suitable, and The transition from something that is not an ethnostate into something that is will require so much bloodshed and abuse that the end result will be worse than what came before it.

A rejoinder could sound like this.

> We need a white ethnostate because of impending White Genocide, and The transition from non-ethnostate to ethnostate does not have to be bloody or abusive since it can happen gradually and with respect for human rights.

The historical data that Styx uses to make his point amount to cherrypicking and special pleading.

> Styx's attitude resembles what I would call 'historical complacency.' Historically complacent people may be well versed in history but they take for granted the tangible sacrifices people have made throughout history and instead use history to connect only those dots which support a particular ideology.

As a libertarian, Styx only believes abuse can come from a state, and that when the state acts, it is necessarily abusive.

> Styx always presented the worst possible scenario as being the most probable. In response to Johnson's 'Slow Cleanse' idea, Styx proposed that non-whites and their white allies would take up arms against white identitarians. What then? In Styx's mind, any transition to a white ethnostate would devolve into warfare and ultimately tyrannical oppression.

It is necessary to point out that oppression and tyranny does not need to be from the powerful. By seeing abuse as inherent liked to state power, that is as coming from the top, he demonstrates a worldview that is not only liberal but inherent Marxist. Leftist believe all abuse comes from the top, therefore, as Whites are still in better economic standing in general than non-Whites, Whites cannot be the target of abuse. But abuse can come from the bottom, as in the case of Whites who are forced, through government action by the way, to live around non-Whites who create degenerative neighborhoods, lower social trust, increase crime rates and are general resource competitors. As a libertarian, Styx must not only be against state enforce segregation, but also state enforced integration, as known as freedom of association. As various areas in America become more diverse, meaning less White, Whites flee immediately, a concept known as White flight. In terms of residential segregation along racial lines, America is more divided than ever. Liberal states are more self-segregated in terms of race and residence than formerly segregated southern states.

While Styx may believe that racial conflict is the result of leftism or socialism, it is not.

> Instead, it is merely a result of people on different points of the evolutionary timeline acting according to their racial natures. But because in this scenario non-whites benefit at the direct expense of whites who for the most part do not wish to be ethnically cleansed, how is this not tyranny? Such ethnic cleansing may have occurred with minimal bloodshed, but how is it not abuse of the rights of white people? If Styx is so concerned about the abuse which may happen when a white nation becomes more white, why is he then not so concerned about the abuse which has already happened when white nations became less white?

This is another phenomenon I have pointed out existing among conservatives, which is an obsession with not only opposing an imaginary New World Order, but also with opposing any positive change using the slippery slope fallacy, the pathetic dread of ever trying some different. The result of this worldview is that you end up defending the status quo by default, when that is the precise paradigm you should be attacking. If Styx is so worried about future abuse, why not the current abuse? Maybe if we end the current abuse through racial separation, we will actually have less abuse than they do today. But like all libertarians, you can never act decisively, because to act is to abuse.

Another problem with Styx's view is the way he views White people. Since he believes in the inherent equality of the races, he extrapolates the worst aspects of all of them, artificially lumps them together, than predicts Armageddon. For example, he says that Whites if they purge non-Whites from America along racial lines, why not do it along ethnic lines? There is simply no precedent for such a thing happening. Because Whites are one race, even with very real ethnic differences, the various European groups that arrived in America at various stages in her history ultimately assimilated fully. No non-White racial or ethnic group has ever assimilated fully into America. They have all kept a hyphenated American identity. While I understand why they do this, the problem is that it causes conflict. If you're going to come to another country and not assimilate, basically because it is biologically and genetically impossible for you to do so, why not stay in your own country and save us all the headache?

Links

Article: https://counter-currents.com/2018/01/a-response-to-styxhexenhammer666/
Archive: https://archive.vn/0h1Li

White genocide: https://counter-currents.com/2015/09/white-genocide/
Archive: https://archive.vn/2hCiZ

Slow cleanse: https://counter-currents.com/2014/06/the-slow-cleanse/
Archive: https://archive.vn/C0fiz